

Defendants, CITY OF LOS ANGELES and AMMON M. WILLIAMS, were represented by Deputy City Attorneys Rena M. Shahandeh and Geoff Plowden.

A jury of eight (8) persons were regularly empaneled and sworn. Witnesses were sworn and testified and documentary evidence was introduced and admitted into evidence. After hearing the evidence and arguments of counsel, the jury was duly instructed by the Court and the cause was submitted to the jury with directions to return a verdict on the issues, if after proper deliberations, they could do so. The jury deliberated and thereafter returned to the court with their unanimous verdict as follows, to-wit:

JURY VERDICT

WE, THE JURY, in the above-entitled action, unanimously find on the questions presented as follows:

CLAIM UNDER FEDERAL LAW

FOURTH AMENDMENT CLAIM (42 U.S.C. Section 1983)

QUESTION NO. 1

Did Officer Williams violate Ms. Rosate's constitutional rights by using excessive force against her?

YES___ NO_X_

1	CLAIMS UNDER CALIFORNIA LAW		
2	UNREASONABLE FORCE BY PEACE OFFICER		
3			
4	QUESTION NO. 2		
5	Did Officer Williams used unreasonable force against Ms. Rosate?		
6			
7	YES NO_X		
8			
9			
10	<u>NEGLIGENCE</u>		
11	OUESTION NO. 3		
12			
13			
14	YES NO_X_		
15			
16			
17 18	If you answered "YES" to Question No. 3, please answer Question No. 4. If you answered "NO" to Question No. 3, please sign and date the verdict form.		
19			
20			
21			
22	QUESTION NO. 4		
23	Was Ms. Rosate negligent?		
24	vvas ivis. Itosato negigent:		
25	YES NO		
26			
27			
28			
	3		

1	Please date	e, sign and return this form.		
2				
3	DATED:	15/		
5	DAIED:	3-24-08 Presiding Juror		
6		-		
7		JUDGMENT		
8				
9	Inc	REFORE, IT IS ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED:		
10	1.	That judgment be, and hereby is, entered in favor of the Defendants		
11		and against the Plaintiff;		
12	2.	That the Plaintiff shall take nothing;		
13 14	3.	That the Defendants recover their costs of suit herein.		
15		That the Defendants recover their costs of suit herein.		
16	01			
17	Dated: Me	2008		
18		ALEX KOZINSKI		
19	: •	United States Ninth Circuit Judge		
20				
21 22				
23				
24				
25	,			
26				
27				
28				
		4		

1 PROOF OF SERVICE BY MAIL (Business Practice to Entrust Deposit to Others) 2 (C.C.P. Section 1013a(3)) 3 I, MARGARIT AVESYAN, declare as follows: 4 I am over the age of 18 years, and not a party to this action. My business address is 200 North Main Street, 6th Floor, City Hall East, Los Angeles, 5 California, which is located in the county where the mailing described below took place. 6 I am readily familiar with the business practice at my place of business for collection and processing or correspondence for mailing with the United States 7 Postal Service. Correspondence so collected and processed is deposited with the United States Postal Service that same day in the ordinary course of business. 8 9 On March 27, 2008, at my place of business at 200 North Main Street, 6th Floor, City Hall East, Los Angeles, California, a copy of the attached [PROPOSED] JUDGMENT AFTER TRIAL BY JURY was placed for deposit in the United States Postal Service in a sealed envelope, with postage fully prepaid, addressed to: 10 11 Paul L. Hoffman, Esq. SCHONBRUN DESIMONE SEPLOW 12 HARRIS & HOFFMAN LLP 13 723 Ocean Front Walk Venice, California 90291 (310) 396-0731 14 (310) 399-7040 Fax 15 and that envelope was placed for collection and mailing on that date following 16 ordinary business practices. 17 X (Federal) I declare that I am employed in the office of a member of the bar of this court at whose direction the service was made. 18 (State) I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of 19 California that the foregoing is true and correct. 20 Executed on March 27, 2008, at Los Angeles, California. 21 22 MARGARIT AVESYAN 23 24 25 26 27 28